

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/816,321	03/26/2001	Jeffrey Leigh Wells	0011-0366P	8577	
2292	7590 01/13/2003				
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH			EXAMINER		
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHU	rch, va 22040-0747		MAYES, MELVIN C		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1734	10	
			DATE MAILED: 01/13/2003	·	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	·		_ AS				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/816,321	WELLS ET AL.					
• Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Melvin Curtis Mayes	1734					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF1. - after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailling date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a i ly within the statutory minimum of thir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON e, cause the application to become Af	eply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19	December 2002 .						
	his action is non-final.		÷				
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims			ı				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-13,15-18,20-36 and 38-48</u> is/are p	pending in the application						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) <u>5-8,15,18,21-36 and 38-47</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3-4,9-13,20 and 48</u> is/are rejected.	oweu.						
7) Claim(s) $\frac{2+3-13\cdot20 \text{ and } +0}{15\cdot410 \text{ logicities}}$ is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement						
Application Papers	or election requirement.						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ acce	epted or b) objected to by t	he Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)⊡ approved b)⊡ d	isapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	eply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received in A	pplication No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International But See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional applicatio	n).				
a) The translation of the foreign language pro							
Attachment(s)	, ,						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/816,321

Art Unit: 1734

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

(1)

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

(2)

Claims 2-4, 9-13, 20, 21 and 48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Herbet et al. 5,008,133.

Herbet et al. disclose an apparatus for coating comprising: a back-up roll 16 on which a web 14 runs; and a doctor blade 24 which meters the thickness of the coating applied to the web and serves to fracture microcapsules incorporated in the coating mixture. As shown in Figure 1, the edge of the doctor blade 24 is flat and linear and the blade is at an acute angle to the feed path of the web.

Herbet et al. discloses a doctor blade which serves to fracture microcapsules on a running web and thus discloses an apparatus comprising an activation device which is an activator blade and which is capable of performing the intended use of releasing in situ microencapsulated adhesive contained in a dry sheet media.

Allowable Subject Matter

(3)

Claims 5-8, 15, 18, 21-36 and 38-47 are allowed.

Application/Control Number: 09/816,321

Art Unit: 1734

(4)

Claims 16 and 17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

(5)

Applicant's arguments filed December 19, 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Herbet et al. is directed to a method of applying/forming a coating on a web, while the claimed invention is directed to activation of an encapsulated adhesive already applied to a dry sheet, and thus the reference does not teach or suggest the combination of elements as claimed.

(6)

In response to applicant's argument that Herbet et al. does not teach or suggest a system for rupturing an encapsulated adhesive contained in a dry sheet media, a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. In a claim drawn to a process of making, the intended use must result in a manipulative difference as compared to the prior art. See *In re Casey*, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and *In re Otto*, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963).

Art Unit: 1734

In this case the doctor blade of Herbet et al. is capable of performing the intended use of rupturing an encapsulated adhesive such as an in situ microencapsulated adhesive contained in a dry sheet media moved past the doctor blade (activator blade). The intended use of the claimed invention does not result in a structural difference between the claimed activator blade and the doctor blade of Herbet et al.

Conclusion

(7)

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

(8)

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melvin Curtis Mayes whose telephone number is 703-308-1977. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 7:30 AM - 4:00 PM.

Application/Control Number: 09/816,321

Art Unit: 1734

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Crispino can be reached on 703-308-3853. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Melvin Curas Mayes Primary Examiner Art Unit 1734

MCM January 9, 2003